(C)

Government of Kerala കേരള സർക്കാർ 2010



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

# KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസററ്

# PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

**Vol. LV** വാല്യം 55

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

7th September 2010 2010 സെപ്റ്റംബർ 7 16th Bhadra 1932 1932 ഭാദ്രം 16

No. mand } 35

# PART I

# Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

## Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

**ORDERS** 

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 837/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Pazhoothadam Estate, Parathodu P. O., Kanjirappally, Kottayam and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Malanadu Plantation Mazdoor Sangh (BMS), Mundakkayam, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct

that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether the denial of employment of Shri Salim Yusuf, Rubber Tapper in the Pazhoothadam Estate by the Management is justifiable?
- 2. If not what relief the workman is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 838/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Nullathanny Estate, K. D. H. P. Company Limited, Munnar and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estate Workers Union (AITUC), Munnar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

- Whether the dismissal of Shri Gunasekharan,
   P. F. No. 9519, Worker, Periavarrai Lower Division,
   Nullathanni Estate, Munnar by the Management is justifiable or not?
- 2. If not what relief he is entitled to?

(3)

#### G. O. (Rt.) No. 839/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Letchmi Estate, Munnar and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Workers Congress (INTUC-I), Munnar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

- Whether the dismissal of Smt. Indrani, P. F. No. 2101, Crush Attender of Middle Division, Letchmi Estate, Munnar by the Management is justifiable or not?
- 2. If not what relief she is entitled to?

(4)

#### G. O. (Rt.) No. 940/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 27th May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. Shri Bose Solomon, s/o Solomon, Valiyavila Veedu, Ashtamudi, Kollam, 2. Shri N. Baburajan, s/o. Narayanan, Mukaluvila Veedu, Vettilathazham, Decent Junction P. O., Kollam, 3. Shri R. Ullas, s/o Raveendran, Muttathu Veedu, Poovattoor East, Kalayapuram P. O., Kottarakkara and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Shri T. Venugopal, General Secretary, Quilon Range Chethu Thozhilali Union (CITU), High School Junction, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to Toddy Tappers Shri R. Chandrabhanu, V. K. Kunjumani, Biju, K. K. Krishnankutty, M. R. Salim, K. R. Raveendran, P. K. Asokan, Reghunathan, M. G. Sobhanan, M. R. Gopalakrishnan, P. Suresh, G. Manoharan by the Licensees of Kollam Excise Group I, Kollam is justifiable or not? If not what relief they are entitled to get?

(5)

#### G. O. (Rt.) No. 1015/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd June 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Manager, St. Joseph's High School (U. P. Section), Upputhode P. O., Idukki District, 2. The President P. T. A. St. Joseph's High School (U. P. Section), Upputhode P. O., 3. The Headmistress, St. Joseph's High School (U. P. Section), Upputhode P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Lilly George, Palanikkal Veedu, Purappuzha P. O., Vallikkettu, Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

- Whether the dismissal of Smt. Lilly George, Cook, St. Joseph's High School (U. P. Section), Upputhode P. O. by the Management is justifiable?
- 2. If not what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(6)

#### G. O. (Rt.) No. 1021/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 2nd June 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri M. Anantharaman, Proprietor, Vinayaka Caterers, Sannidhi Road, Kadavanthra, Kochi-20 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri S. P. Pradeepkumar, Santha Sadanam, K. R. Puram P. O., Cherthala in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri S. P. Pradeepkumar, Cook, Vinayaka Caterers, Sannidhi Road, Kadavanthra, Kochi by the Proprietor is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to get?

(7)

#### G. O. (Rt.) No. 1082/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th June 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri P. R. Ramesh, Poorna, T. C. 26/771(2), Chembaka Nagar, Oottukuzhi, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri A. Babu, Flat No. 465, Rajaji Nagar, Secretariat Ward, Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to Shri A. Babu, Garbage Collector by the management of Poorna, Registered under Charitable Societies Act, No. T. 3248/01 is justifiable? If not what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(8)

#### G. O. (Rt.) No. 1083/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th June 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, O. E. N. India Limited, Electrogiri, Mulanthuruthy, 2. The Assistant General Manager (HRD), O. E. N. India Limited, Electrogiri, Mulanthuruthy and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri C. D. Manoharan, Cheeranattu Parambil Veedu, Thalakkodu P. O., Mulanthuruthy in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether the denial of employment to Shri C. D. Manoharan, Casual Employee by the management of O. E. N. India Limited, Mulanthuruthy is justifiable?
- 2. If not what relief they are entitled to?

(9)

### G. O. (Rt.) No. 1085/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th June 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri M. Iqbal, Proprietor, KL 2 S 154, KMS Motors, Sabeena Manzil, Pon Nilayam, Mevaram, Thattamala P. O., Kollam and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Quilon District Motor and Mechanical Workers Union (CITU), CITU Bhavan, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri R. Prakash, Conductor, Shri A. Thajudeen, Driver and Shri B. Murukanandan, Cleaner with effect from 25-9-2008 by the management of KL 2 S 154 (KMS Motors) Stage Carriage Bus are justifiable or not? If not what relief the workers are entitled to get?

(10)

#### G. O. (Rt.) No. 1086/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th June 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Proprietor, Vijayalekshmi Cashew Factory, Kochupilammoodu, Kollam-691 001 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Sajeev, Embrattazhiyil Vadakkathil, Kallumthazham, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order:

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri Sajeev, workmen of Vijayalekshmi Cashew Factory, Packing Centre at Prathapthope, Kollam with effect from 26-8-2009 by the management is justifiable or not? If not what relief the worker is entitled to get?

(11)

#### G. O. (Rt.) No. 1087/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th June 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President/Secretary, Alleppey Coir Mats and Mattings Co-operative Society Limited No. 241, Alappuzha and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Jilla Secretary, Hindu Mazdoor Sabha (HMS), District Committee Office, Harris Bhavan, Sara Lane-7, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to two workers Shri C. M. Kunjachan, Moolamkuzhiyil, Arattuvazhi P.O., Alappuzha and Shri K. V. Kunjumon, Kochikkaran Veedu, Thumboli, Alappuzha in Alleppey Coir Mats and Mattings Co-operative Society Limited No. 241, Alappuzha by the management is justifiable? If not what relief they are entitled to?

(12)

#### G. O. (Rt.) No. 1145/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 25th June 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Pallivasal Estate, Munnar P.O., Idukki District and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. Jagadish, Tata Tea Staff Quarters Factory Division, IV/194, Pallivasal P.O., Munnar, Idukki District-685 612 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal, will pass the award within a period of three months.

#### **A**NNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri K. Jagadish, Section Officer, Pallivasal Estate, Munnar P.O., Idukki by the management is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

Rachel Varghese,

Under Secretary to Government.